

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/646,425	ACAR ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Helen Rossoshek	2825	

All Participants:

Status of Application: pre-appeal brief request

(1) Examiner Helen Rossoshek.

(3) _____.

(2) Richard Frankeny (Registration No. 47,573).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 13 July 2006

Time: N/A

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
N/A

Claims discussed:
1-20

Prior art documents discussed:
N/A


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Examiner proposed to amend claims 1 and 11 for clarification. Agreement has been reached.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

A. M. Thompson
 Primary Examiner
 Technology Center 2800



Helen Rossoshek
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)